

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/449,575	11/29/1999	JIN-YI PAN	552.112US01	6435	
22865	7590 10/01/2002				
ALTERA LAW GROUP, LLC			EXAMINER		
SUITE 100	VEST PARKWAY		TRAN, DZUNG D		
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55344-7704		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2633		
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

91

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- A
· . ·		09/449,575	PAN, JIN-YI	/
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dzung D Tran	2633	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	_	the correspondence addres	5S
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the main dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repeply within the statutory minimum of thirty of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI ute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commu NDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	unication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{2}$	<u>9 November 1999</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims			ierits is
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the applicat	on.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) 🔲 -	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •		
12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	plication No	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the page application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for a limit	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ge
14)∏ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional app	plication).
a	The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has bee	en received.	
Attachment	:(s)			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Inf	ımmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-15	
J.S. Patent and Ti PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary	Part of Pa	per No. 6

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Azuma et al. U.S. patent no. 6,430,150 in view of Medard et al. U.S. patent no. 6,047,331.

Regarding claim 1, Azuma et al. disclose a method for establishing a protection path for a failed link between first and second nodes in a mesh network wherein a transfer of information from the first node to the second node is disrupted by the failed link (figure 5A), the method comprising:

establishing an alternate path from the second node to the first node, wherein one or more alternate nodes beginning at the second node and ending at the first node (column 2, lines 11-54);

executing a switch function at each of the alternate nodes to allow the information traffic flow from the first node to the second node along the alternate path; and switching the information traffic flow at the first node from the failed link to the alternate path when the destination-to-source communication channel is established at the first

Art Unit: 2633

node (column 2, lines 20-21, column 10, lines 10-15). Although Azuma discloses the upstream node (i.e. second node) detect the failure and send an alarm message to notify all the nodes in the network of the failure, Azuma does not specific disclose destination node and source node. Medard et al. disclose an optical network in that he identify each node as a source node or destination node (column 10, lines 1-41). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention was made to include the teaching of Medard in the network of Azuma in order to clarify the optical signal flow in from a source node and transmit to a destination node.

Regarding claim 2, Azuma et al. further disclose that the mesh network is an optical mesh network, and the information transferred comprises optical signals (figures 4A, 4B column 4, lines 42-51).

Regarding claim 3, Azuma et al. further disclose that the optical mesh network is an optical mesh network incorporating wavelength division multiplexing whereby multiple optical signals each transmitted at a different wavelength are transmitted on a single optical fiber (figures 4A, 4B, 9, column 4, lines 41-51, column 11, lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 4, Azuma et al. further disclose for executing a switch function that comprises optically switching the wavelengths of one or more of the optical signals of the failed link onto optical fibers establishing the alternate path (column 4, line 62 to column 5, line 7).

Regarding claim 5, Azuma et al. further disclose optically switching one or more of the optical signals of the failed link comprises switching the one or more optical signals to alternate ports of an optical cross-connect (column 4, line 63 to column 5, line 7).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 6, Azuma et al. further disclose a switch function that comprises switching the optical signals of failed optical fibers onto alternate optical fibers to establish the alternate path (column 2, lines 20-21, column 3, lines 14-17).

Regarding claim 7, Azuma et al. further disclose switching one or more of the optical signals of the failed fibers onto alternate optical fibers comprises collectively switching the one or more optical signals associated with the optical fibers of the failed link to different ports of a fiber cross-connect (column 4, line 63 to column 5, line 7, column 2, lines 20-21, column 3, lines 14-17).

Regarding claim 8, Azuma et al. further disclose for establishing an alternate path from the second node to the first node comprises routing the destination-to-source communication channel along a predetermined path of the alternate nodes (column 1, lines 29-37).

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Azuma et al. further disclose for establishing an alternate path from the second node to the first node comprises routing the destination-to-source communication channel along a dynamically-generated path of the alternate nodes and selecting the potential alternate node for inclusion into the dynamically-generated path (column 1, lines 38-42).

Regarding claim 11, Azuma et al. further disclose a node address table (figures 1A, 1B).

Regarding claim 12, Azuma et al. further disclose the node status at least the next two hops of nodes (figure 5B, column 3, line 48).

Regarding claim 13, Azuma et al. further disclose for detecting the failed link at the

Art Unit: 2633

second node (column 4, line 45-49).

Regarding claim 15, Medard et al. further disclose that first node is an information-originating source node from which the information transfer is initiated (column 10, line 25-28).

Regarding claim 16, Medard et al. further disclose that the first node is an intermediate source node between the failed link and an information-originating source node from which the information transfer is initiated (figure 1, column 10, line 25-32).

Regarding claim 17, Medard et al. further disclose that second node is a targeted destination node to which the information transfer is ultimately directed (column 10, line 25-28).

Regarding claim 18, Medard et al. further disclose that the second node is an intermediate source node between the failed link and a targeted destination node to which the information transfer is ultimately directed (figure 1, column 10, line 25-32).

Regarding claims 19 and 20, Azuma et al. further disclose transmitting a failure notification message from the second node to the first node via the destination-to source communication channel, wherein the destination-to-source communication channel transmits the failure notification message from the second node to the first node by way of the alternate path (column 4, lines 44-49, column 9, lines 49-50).

Regarding claim 21, Azuma et al. further disclose that destination-to-source communication channel comprises one or more wavelengths dedicated to transmitting management information, including a link failure notification (column 3, line 62 to column 4, line 50).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 22, Azuma et al. further disclose a network protection configuration for use in optical mesh network topologies to reroute optical signals from a failed transmission path to one or more alternate transmission paths (abstract, figures 5A, column 1, lines 6-10), the network protection configuration comprising:

an optical fiber network comprising a plurality of optical network nodes (figure 1, elements 1, 2, 3) and a communication channel established from the destination node to the source node to transmit a path failure notification, wherein a route established by the destination-to-source communication channel traversing one or more of the optical network nodes defines the alternate transmission path, and wherein the network nodes defining the alternate transmission path are switched in response to the path failure notification to facilitate source-to-destination transmission of the optical signals from the failed transmission path along the alternate path (column 2, lines 11-54).

Regarding claims 23-26, Azuma et al. further disclose in figure 1A, 1B each of the optical network nodes further comprises memory to store an optical node address table, wherein the optical node address table maintains status information for surrounding optical network nodes being within at least two hops of the optical network node (claim 23), the status information comprises an optical node address for the surrounding optical network nodes (claim 24), the status information comprises node availability information for the surrounding optical network nodes (claim 25) and the status information comprises node bandwidth capacity information for the surrounding optical network nodes (claim 26).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claims 27 and 28, Azuma et al. further disclose each of the optical network nodes further comprises a fiber cross-connect circuit coupled to one or more of the optical fibers of the failed transmission path to switch the optical signals corresponding to a failed optical fiber to fiber cross-connect output ports to route the optical signals corresponding to the failed optical fiber to targeted optical fibers along the alternate path (column 4, line 63-column 5, line 7, column 2, lines 20-21, column 3, lines 14-17).

Regarding claim 29, Azuma et al. further disclose that the optical mesh network is an optical mesh network incorporating wavelength division multiplexing whereby multiple optical signals each transmitted at a different wavelength are transmitted on a single optical fiber (figures 4A, 4B, 9, column 4, lines 41-51, column 11, lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 30, Azuma et al. further disclose for detecting the failed transmission path at the destination node (column 4, lines 45-50)

Regarding claim 31, Azuma et al. further disclose that each of the optical network nodes comprises switching means for rerouting the optical signals corresponding to the failed transmission to optical fibers along the alternate path in response to the path failure notification (column 7, lines 20-29).

Regarding claims 32 and 33, Azuma et al. further disclose an optical cross-connect circuit coupled to receive one or more of the optical signals and to switch the optical signals to particular output ports of the optical cross-connect to route the optical signals to targeted ones of the optical fibers (column 4, line 63 to column 5, line 7, column 2, lines 20-21, column 3, lines 14-17).

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/449,575

Art Unit: 2633

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Grover et al. U.S. patent no. 6,377,543. Path restoration of networks
- b. Gulliford et al. U.S. patent no. 6,366,584. Commercial network based on point to point radios.
- c. Eslambolchi U.S. patent no. 6,370,110. Back up restoration technique for SONET/SDH rings.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dzung Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-0932.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (703) 305-4729.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600